

Journal of Alloys and Compounds 271-273 (1998) 658-661

Thermodynamic study on α -U₂N_{3+x} using N-rich starting material (x \ge 0.6)

T. Nakagawa*, K. Nishimaki, T. Urabe, M. Katsura

Department of Nuclear Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, Yamadaoka 2-1, Suita, Osaka 565, Japan

Abstract

Equilibrium measurements were performed to obtain the N₂ pressure–composition isotherms in the 400 to 900°C temperature range for the single-phase α -U₂N_{3+x} (1.63<1.76) region using N-rich α -U₂N_{3+x} (atomic ratio N/U>1.80) as the starting material. The partial molar free energy, enthalpy and entropy are calculated as a function of nitrogen content. © 1998 Elsevier Science S.A.

Keywords: α-U₂N_{3+x}; Partial molar enthalpy; Partial molar entropy; Partial molar free energy; Pressure-temperature-composition relationship

1. Introduction

 α -U₂N₃ is a nonstoichiometric compound exhibiting a wide range of composition and usually contains more nitrogen than indicated by its chemical formula, which is often designated α -U₂N_{3+x}. α -U₂N_{3+x} is prepared by the reaction of metallic uranium or UH3 with N2 gas at normal pressure. Although Rundle et al. [1] stated that the formation of UN₂ required high nitrogen pressure (126 atm), Didchenko and Gortsema [2] reported that UN₂ could easily be prepared by passing NH₃ over UH₃ while raising the temperature to 1000°C. Trezebiatowski and Troc prepared α -U₂N_{3+x} with N/U atom ratios from 1.5 to 1.86 using the following methods [3]. Nitrides with N/Uatom ratios from 1.5 to 1.71 were prepared by treating uranium powder with N₂. However, uranium nitrides with higher nitrogen content up to UN_{1.86} could be obtained solely by the action of ammonia on UH₃. According to Berthold and Delliehausen [4], the reaction of UN or α -U₂N_{3+x} (N/U<1.76) with N₂ or NH₃ at pressures up to 200 atm could lead to the formation of $UN_{1.83}$ (in the case of N_2) or $UN_{1.86}$ (in the case of NH_3). They also reported that a stable uranium nitride $UN_{1.90\pm0.02}$ could be prepared by the reaction of UF_4 with a stream of NH_3 . Katsura et al. reported the formation of UN_{>1.80} using flowing NH₃ below 600°C [5–7]. All these results suggest that uranium nitrides with N/U atom ratios >1.80 can be obtained only by the action of high nitrogen pressure or by use of NH₃.

Equilibrium N_2 pressure-temperature-composition (P-T-C) relationships have been obtained by equilibrating

 α -U₂N_{3+x} prepared by the reaction between U and N₂ with N₂ gas [8,9,11-13]. Lapat and Holden, as well as Bugl and Bauer, calculated the partial molar enthalpy ΔH and partial molar entropy ΔS for the solution of nitrogen in $\alpha\text{-}U_2N_{3+\star}$ with low nitrogen content (N/U<1.68) which was obtained by the reaction of U with N2 [8,9]. Serizawa et al. estimated ΔH and ΔS values for the solution of α -U₂N_{3+r} with N/U ratios >1.78 by the reaction of UH₃ with a mixture of NH_3 and H_2 [10]. However, available data for ΔH and ΔS for the solution of nitrogen in α -U₂N_{3+x} with N/U ratios 1.68–1.77 are lacking, as it is difficult to obtain P-T-C relationship for this region. This may be due to the fact that the nitrides having $N/U \ge 1.70$ cannot be obtained by the reaction of U with N_2 under normal pressures. Urabe et al. overcame this difficulty by using nitrogen-rich α -U₂N_{3+x} prepared by reaction of U with NH₃ as a starting material and obtained the P-T-C relationship at 400, 600 and 800°C [14]. In the present work, in addition to the above three temperatures, the isotherms at 500, 700 and 900°C are measured. Based on these data, ΔH and ΔS values for the solution of nitrogen in α -U₂N_{3+x} with N/U rations 1.63–1.76 are estimated. Free energies for the solution of nitrogen in α -U₂N_{3+x} are also obtained.

2. Experimental

Metallic uranium, about 0.2 g in the form of a chip, was abraded with emery paper soaked in xylene and leached in 6 N HNO_3 for 2 h. The bright metal thus prepared was weighed in a quartz boat and inserted into the reaction tube filled with N₂ gas. The system was then evacuated to the

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 6 877 5111, extn. 3653; fax: +81 6 879 7889; e-mail: nakagawa@nucl.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp

^{0925-8388/98/\$19.00 © 1998} Elsevier Science S.A. All rights reserved. *P11* S0925-8388(98)00181-9

order of 10^{-5} Pa at room temperature. Thereafter hydrogen was admitted into the reaction tube to about 80 kPa, and the uranium metal was hydrided at 225°C to form UH₃ powder. The temperature was raised to about 600°C to decompose the hydride to uranium metal powder and H₂ gas. The hydriding and dehydriding steps were repeated several times in order to ensure that all the uranium was converted to a fine powder. After the uranium powder was hydrided again at 225°C, the system was evacuated. An ammonia gas stream (150 ml min⁻¹) was supplied to the reaction tube and the temperature was raised to 600°C. The sample was kept at this temperature for an hour in order to form the single α -U₂N_{3+x} phase. The temperature was then lowered to 300°C and kept for 20 h to obtain a high nitrogen content α -U₂N_{3+x} (N/U>1.80). Afterwards the system was cooled to room temperature, and was evacuated to the order of 10^{-5} Pa. Nitrogen gas was then introduced into the system either to about 1.3 kPa or to about 13 kPa and the temperature was again raised to the desired value in the range from 400 to 900°C. In addition, experiments attaining the desired temperature without introduction of N₂ at room temperature were performed. Nitrogen-rich α -U₂N_{3+x} as the starting material evolved N₂ until equilibrium had been reached. The pressure of nitrogen, P_{N_a} , was measured for 40–400 h, and the establishment of equilibrium was judged by following the variation of P_{N_2} with time. When P_{N_2} remained constant for over 20 h, equilibrium was assumed to be reached. After the equilibrium nitrogen pressure was determined, the specimen was quickly cooled to room temperature and subjected to X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD). The XRD patterns of the samples were obtained at room temperature with a diffractometer (Rigaku Denki, Geiger Flex RADrA) using crystal monochromatized Cu Kα radiation. The lattice parameter of α -U₂N_{3+x} was calculated by Cohen's method using silicon as an external calibration. N/U atom ratios were estimated from the lattice parameter-composition relationship reported by Tagawa [15].

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the equilibrium nitrogen pressure–temperature–composition relationship obtained in this work. The N/U ratio of the α -U₂N_{3+x} samples used as starting materials is greater than 1.80 and thermodynamically is very unstable. The difference between the chemical potential of nitrogen in the starting material and that of equilibrium α -U₂N_{3+x} may be extremely large and, hence, a high driving force toward equilibrium may be expected.

The equilibrium examined in the present work can be written as

$$\alpha - U_2 N_{3+x+\Delta x} = \alpha - U_2 N_{3+x} + \frac{\Delta x}{2} N_2$$
(1)

This equation states that $\Delta x/2$ mol of nitrogen leaves



Fig. 1. Equilibrium nitrogen pressure of α -U₂N_{3+x} as a function of the composition at different temperatures.

 α -U₂N_{3+x+\Deltax} and forms α -U₂N_{3+x}. At equilibrium the free energies of both sides of Eq. (1) are set equal, so the following relation is readily obtained:

$$\Delta G^{\circ}_{f, U_2 N_{3+x+\Delta x}} = \Delta G^{\circ}_{f, U_2 N_{3+x}} + \frac{\Delta x}{2} RT \ln(P_{N_2})$$
(2)

where $\Delta G^{\circ}_{f,U_2N_{3+x+\Delta x}}$ and $\Delta G^{\circ}_{f,U_2N_{3+x}}$ are the standard free energies for formation of α -U₂N_{3+x+\Delta x} and α -U₂N_{3+x}, respectively. The relation expressing true equilibrium is obtained by the condition $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$. Using Taylor's expansion and retaining only the first-order term in the limit $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$, the left-hand term of Eq. (2) is given by

$$\Delta G^{\circ}_{f,U_2N_{3+x+\Delta x}} = \Delta G^{\circ}_{f,U_2N_{3+x}} + \frac{\partial \Delta G^{\circ}_{f,U_2N_{3+x}}}{\partial x} \Delta x$$
(3)

Rearranging by substituting Eq. (3) into Eq. (2), the following equation is obtained:

$$\frac{\partial \Delta G^{\circ}_{\mathrm{f},\mathrm{U}_{2}\mathrm{N}_{3+x}}}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2}RT\,\ln(P_{\mathrm{N}_{2}})\tag{4}$$

The left-hand side of Eq. (4) is, by definition, the partial molar free energy $\Delta \overline{G}$ for the solution of nitrogen into α -U₂N_{3+x}. As $\Delta \overline{G}$ is given by

$$\Delta \overline{G} = \Delta \overline{H} - T \Delta \overline{S} \tag{5}$$

one obtains from Eqs. (4) and (5)

$$\ln(P_{N_2}) = \frac{2\Delta \overline{H}}{R} \frac{1}{T} - \frac{2\Delta \overline{S}}{R}$$
(6)

By plotting the natural logarithm of the nitrogen pressure



Fig. 2. Partial molar enthalpy for nitrogen solution in α -U₂N_{3+x} versus N/U atom ratio for the present and other measurements reported in the literature.

versus 1/T, the plot is a straight line, and the slope and the interception are $2\Delta H/R$ and $-2\Delta S/R$, respectively.

Fig. 2 shows ΔH versus the N/U atom ratio together with the available reported data for various N/U ratios [8–10]. In this work, the relationship between ΔH and α -U₂N_{3+x} composition in the range 1.63–1.76 was obtained. Serizawa et al. suggested a biquadratic fit curve connecting the data of Bugl and Bauer with theirs [10]. There was no theoretical justification for using the biquadratic fit function. Despite no phase change of α -U₂N_{3+x} in the composition range 1.65 < 1.85 [16], an abrupt change in ΔH around N/U=1.68 is found in the results of Lapat and Holden. Therefore, Serizawa et al. favored the results of Bugl and Bauer because the change in ΔH between the data of Bugl and Bauer and that of Serizawa et al. seemed continuous. As seen in Fig. 2, the present author's new data in the lower nitrogen content region approach the results of Lapat and Holden rather than those of Bugl and Bauer. Fig. 3 shows the ΔS versus N/U atom ratio obtained in this work and reported previously [8–10]. ΔS values in the higher nitrogen content region obtained in this work are also in agreement with the data of Serizawa et al. The three measurements (this paper and Refs. [8,9]) of ΔS in the lower nitrogen content region contradict each other. It is just a guess that the discrepancy among these ΔH and ΔS values in the N/U<1.7 region could be due to the different experimental methods or the presence of oxide impurities in the nitrides. In order to resolve this problem, further detailed experiments are required.

Fig. 4 shows the value of ΔG calculated using Eq. (4)



Fig. 3. Partial molar entropy for nitrogen solution in α -U₂N_{3+x} versus N/U atom ratio for the present and other measurements reported in the literature.

and the present data, in which the dependence of $\Delta \overline{G}$ on N/U is shown at several temperatures. The figure indicates a linear increase in $\Delta \overline{G}$ with increasing N/U ratio. $\Delta \overline{G} = 0$ corresponds to a nitrogen pressure of 1 bar. This suggests that α -U₂N_{3+x} with a composition above 1.73 cannot be prepared theoretically by the reaction of uranium with 1 bar nitrogen at 900°C. The same can be said for N/U>



Fig. 4. Partial molar free energy for nitrogen solution in $\alpha\text{-}U_2N_{3+x}$ versus N/U atom ratio.

1.75 at 800°C and >1.77 at 700°C. To obtain α -U₂N_{3+x} with N/U atom ratio 1.75 by the reaction of uranium with N₂ at 900°C, a N₂ pressure of about 2.3 bar is necessary.

4. Conclusions

The nitrogen pressure–composition isotherms for the α -U₂N_{3+x} phase were obtained in the temperature range from 400 to 900°C by equilibrating nitrogen-rich α -U₂N_{3+x} with an N/U atom ratio greater than 1.80 prepared by the reaction of U with flowing NH₃. Using these isotherms the thermodynamic properties (partial molar enthalpy, entropy and free energy for solution of nitrogen) of α -U₂N_{3+x} with N/U atom ratio 1.63–1.76 were obtained.

References

 T.E. Rundle, N.C. Baenziger, A.S. Wilson, R.A. McDonald, J. Am. Chem Soc. 70 (1948) 99.

- [2] R. Didchenko, F.P. Gortsema, Inorg. Chem. 2 (1963) 1079.
- [3] W. Trzebiatowski, R. Troc, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Ser. Sci. Chim. 10(86) (1962) 395.
- [4] H.J. Berthold, C. Delliehausen, Angew. Chem. 78 (1966) 750.
- [5] M. Katsura, H. Serizawa, J. Alloys Comp. 187 (1992) 389.
- [6] M. Katsura, H. Serizawa, M. Miyake, J. Alloys Comp. 193 (1993) 101.
- [7] M. Katsura, H. Serizawa, J. Alloys Comp. 196 (1993) 191.
- [8] J. Bugl, A.A. Bauer, in: J.T. Waber, P. Chiotti, W.N. Miner (Eds.), Compounds in Nuclear Reactor Materials, Edwards Bros., Ann Arbor, MI, 1964, p. 215.
- [9] P.E. Lapat, R.B. Holden, in: J.T. Waber, P. Chiotti, W.N. Miner (Eds.), Compounds in Nuclear Reactor Materials, Edwards Bros., Ann Arbor, MI, 1964, p. 225.
- [10] H. Serizawa, K. Fukuda, M. Katsura, J. Alloys Comp. 232 (1996) 274.
- [11] H. Tagawa, J. Nucl. Mater. 51 (1974) 78.
- [12] A. Naoumidis, Kernforshungsanlage, Jülich, Jül-472-RW, 1967; Eng. Trans. ORNL-tr-1918, STS Corp., Ann Arbor, Mich., 1968.
- [13] F. Müller, H. Ragos, Thermodynamics of Nuclear Materials, IAEA, Vienna, 1968, p. 257.
- [14] T. Urabe, K. Takahashi, M. Katsure, M. Miyake, J. Alloys Comp. 193 (1993) 122.
- [15] H. Tagawa, J. At. Energy Soc. Jpn. 13 (1971) 267.
- [16] H. Serizawa, K. Fukuda, Y. Ishii, Y. Morii, M. Katsura, J. Nucl. Mater. 208 (1994) 128.